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Abstract

During development of technical products, it is necessary to cooperate with each other between several subjects. Thus it is difficult to manage the entire product development process so that as many errors are eliminated as possible, and that the period for non-productive development arising from these errors is reduced. A possible way to systematically manage the entire development process is the systematic use of a "map" of knowledge Engineering Design Science (EDS). This approach has been used for the development of the EDI module case as introduced in the paper.
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1 Introduction

Electrodeionisation (EDI) module is a high-tech technical product for preparation of very pure water which uses the electrodeionisation membrane processes. EDI module will also be called a Technical System (TS) in the following paper to stress its system character enabling the use of generally valid knowledge related to technical products from the Theory of Technical Systems (TTS).

As a brief introduction it is necessary to consider the requirements on TS properties which the product to be designed should meet. TS Property is any TS "feature, characteristic, attribute, etc." such as: power, form, size, stability, durability, colour, manufacturability, transportability, etc., which characterize TS.

Thus TS Property can be understood as an inherent (i.e. ‘inborn’ during design engineering) “unchangeable” TS attribute/characteristic which corresponds to a requirement regarding a specific viewpoint. Any TS Property can be specified, measured, compared and finally evaluated by using a set of either numerical or textual “values” of either a chosen or normative set of the appropriate TS Property indicators. TS Properties can be classified in a number of ways. The described consistent and transparent hierarchical taxonomy stems from, and our research is supported by, inquiries and by our large university and industry related experience.

All inherent (i.e. “implemented”) TS properties [14] can be split first into the following three Domains:

1. Descriptive properties (comprising a description of TS itself including its descriptive features).
2. Reactive (behavioural) properties (comprising reactions/responses of TS to its external and/or internal load of any kind during TS Life Cycle).
3. Reflective properties comprising TS reflections of external bodies on TS (i.e. on its Descriptive and Reactive properties). TS Reactive (behavioural) properties are being commonly merged together with Reflective properties. However, it denies their cause-consequence relationships and dissuades engineering designers from using TS Reactive properties as a means to fulfil objective requirements.

The Domain of descriptive properties is axiomatically structured into 2 classes of Elemental and Feature Engineering design properties [11], [8]. The Domain of reactive (behavioural) properties consists of 1 class of properties which can be ad hoc structured according to the corresponding scientific and/or profession fields [9]. Taxonomy of the large Domain of reflective properties can be appropriately simply split only into 7 re-ranged Property classes following the standard structured model of the TS Life cycle [2], [3], [6], [9]. Thus only the 10 mentioned TS Property classes split into a few subclasses each, their corresponding properties, and their property indicators defined by their values (Fig. 1) [9] can systematically and clearly cover the whole huge set of TS properties.

The developed system of TS property classes can also serve as a direct basis for taxonomy of ‘Design for X’ (DFX) and ‘Prediction of X’ (PoX) knowledge and methods (where X means a TS property class, subclass, sub-subclass or a property) [1], [2], [9], [13]. This has also brought a quite new systematic, transparent and user-friendly view to this huge, very important, however, traditionally very fuzzy area of supporting engineering design knowledge, methods and tools.
2 TS Property based Task Assignment

The task of EDI module innovative redesign for higher working parameters to increase its competitiveness was established by MemBrain Ltd. at Straz pod Ralskem. The partial task assigned to the research team at the University of Bohemia (UWB) was to achieve innovative design of EDI module case. The case supports the internal EDI module components that are subjected to the working pressure needed for an efficient and effective electrodeionisation process. The case should however meet not only the increased working and assembly requirements assigned by MemBrain Ltd., but also demanding requirements assigned by other involved bodies.

The first demanding requirements were assigned by two cooperating experts in the field of membrane processes from the Netherlands and from Canada. Further key requirements were assigned by two selected external manufacturing suppliers: LUKOV PLAST Ltd., a company for manufacturing EDI module internal active plastic components, and METAZ Ltd., a company for manufacturing EDI module case parts.

These assigned key requirements on the designed EDI module case were traditionally obtained and then gradually updated on the basis of knowledge and experience of the involved experts. This usual approach however does not guarantee that any (even crucial) request will be disregarded.

Thus the UWB research team systematically arranged and gradually updated the unordered set of all the above mentioned assigned requirements according to the above outlined taxonomy of TS properties (see Chapter 1). Each such subset of assigned requirements was accompanied by chronologically arranged copies of emails, records of calls, minutes from meetings etc. clearly documenting each assigned change.

This approach proved to be effective, because we, academic researchers, quite simply listed our clearly arranged system of selected questions, and company experts quite simply answered them.

It brought a transparent overview of all currently valid assigned data to all involved persons during the whole development process from its very beginning (attached in a form of one of the agreement enclosures) to its very end (attached in a form of one of the project reports). It also served afterwards to clearly differentiate the originally assigned and/or additionally improved, and thus agreed requirements from additionally assigned requirements and thus evoked additional works.

The outlined TS Property based Task Assignment thus obviously brought to the interdisciplinary and multi-locally solved project transparency and avoided many misunderstandings and evoked errors.

3 TS Property based Task Specification

3.1 Product and Patent searches

In the early stages of any project it is first necessary to go deep into problem. Because the UWB research team started fully from scratch in the field of EDI modules, it was especially vital to find out all available knowledge about them. Most of this knowledge could be obtained from product and patent searches.

Product search enables us to find out what competitive companies are focused on, to learn from them and subsequently try to design a better product. Samples of EDI modules and their producers found during the product search are depicted in (Fig. 2).

**Fig. 1 Taxonomy system for TS Properties - Domains, Classes, Sub-Classes, Properties and their Property Indicators incl. their Values**

**Fig. 2 Samples of current competitive EDI modules: Module LX30X-3 from Siemens (left) and GE E-Cell*-3XHH from General Electric (right)**

Patent search using at least public databases is necessary to find out relevant intellectual property rights of the third parties to avoid their infringements. An overview of the protected designs of EDI modules and their details was a result of this partial project.

Even if not usually mentioned, the products and patents searches also implicitly significantly serve for completion of other requirements on further TS properties of a designed technical product. The reason is that the assigned requirements (see Chapter 1) are not sufficient to achieve a successful product for its whole Life Cycle (LC).

It is also necessary to meet other important obligatory requirements arising from standards, regulations, laws, etc., generally implied requirements (by society, local public, customers, etc.) arising from generally appreciated (by society, local public, customers, etc.) product values, habits, customs, etc. [14]. These “external” requirements can be further completed by the authors’ own “internal” requirements which could potentially increase product competitiveness on the market [8].

3.2 Feasibility study

Its aims are analyses of viability of a designed technical product from technical (physical), economic (cost sources, profit), financial (starting finance), personal (quantity and quality), etc. viewpoints. It obviously also closely relates to requirements on properties of a designed product. It can significantly affect requirements on values of property indicators.
It was thus necessary to get to know and complete also according to the taxonomy system outlined above requirements on the designed EDI module case were clarified. The project team was really interdisciplinary. Cooperating with 2 independent membrane processes (called here constructional organs) therefore it was advantageous to implement them during designing and not additionally changeable by a decision of anybody afterwards as “assigned” ones.

As mentioned above, the set of these requirements had to cover not only the above mentioned ones stated by “customer” and focused mostly on operation & maintenance and manufacturing processes, but also other obligatory and/or generally implied requirements and/or even own requirements focused e.g. on use of own patents, etc.

In order to achieve effective and efficient treatment with and optimal fulfilment of the outlined large set of very different kinds of requirements by a designed technical product it is necessary to arrange them.

Requirements on a designed technical product obviously relate to “inherent” TS properties (i.e. implemented during designing and not additionally changeable by a decision of anybody afterwards as “assigned” ones). Therefore it was advantageous to arrange the mentioned set of unordered requirements also according to the taxonomy system outlined above for TS properties based on the Theory of technical systems [11] (see Chapter 1).

It was thus necessary to get to know and complete requirements for the designed EDI module case. At the beginning of the project all available collected assigned requirements on the designed EDI module case were clarified. The project team was really interdisciplinary. It consisted of about 10 members from 1 research institute, 1 university and 1 industrial design office, cooperating with 2 independent membrane processes experts from abroad and consultants from 2 industrial companies.

Naturally, each of them had its own view about the requirements on the designed technical product. In addition it was necessary to complete this set of assigned stated requirements with the above mentioned obligatory, generally implied and also a few own requirements with use of the above mentioned (see Subchapters 2.1 and 2.2) and also other ad hoc available sources.

All final selected and clarified requirements on TS properties of the designed EDI module case with use of the developed software tool called SP&HA were implemented in SW MS Excel [10]. It has been utilized and validated in its different development versions in a number of interdisciplinary design projects [3], [5]. Each requirement was specified by values of its established respective property indicators with an assigned importance (weighting) from negligible up to compulsory, numerically expressed from 0 to 4.

Thanks to our experienced partners and due to shortage of time we could focus only on key requirements and thus utilize the large potential of SP&HA only partially. In spite of this, it enabled us to evaluate predicted fulfilment of all specified key properties, comparing and evaluating them with specified requirements and possibly also with respective properties of the selected competitive products.

Some of the useful features of this SW tool are diagrams supporting SW(OT) analyses. These indicated strong and weak properties as well as corresponding risk indicators [7] of the alternative designs for the EDI module case. SP&HA can also cover the selected competitive products, however it was not used due to lack of appropriate data. The weak properties are needed to be improved as selective disruptive innovations.

In general it is possible to specify a very detailed set of transparently arranged requirements. Such a comprehensive specification of requirements thus has a key role for the resulting Life Cycle quality of a designed technical product. Thus the traditional ways of establishing design specification starting from “Trial and Error” approach (i.e. without almost any design specification) through “Intuitive” (usable however only by very experienced experts) up to “Instructive” ones. These are however available only as more or less complete subjective instructions (e.g. in [8] and many others) based on knowledge and experience accumulated by their authors.

### 3.3 TS Property based Design Specification

At the end of this first Task Specification phase of the engineering design process it is necessary to carefully specify all appropriate requirements which are imposed on the designed technical product during all its Life Cycle (LC) stages. It is however also required to specify selected LC generally invariant requirements concerning constructional structure of the designed technical product and/or requirements on its behaviour/response on a specified load of any kind.

In order to achieve effective and efficient treatment with and optimal fulfilment of the outlined large set of very different kinds of requirements by a designed technical product it is necessary to arrange them.

Requirements on a designed technical product obviously relate to “inherent” TS properties (i.e. implemented during designing and not additionally changeable by a decision of anybody afterwards as “assigned” ones). Therefore it was advantageous to arrange the mentioned set of unordered requirements also according to the taxonomy system outlined above for TS properties based on the Theory of technical systems [11] (see Chapter 1).

It was thus necessary to get to know and complete requirements for the designed EDI module case. At the beginning of the project all available collected assigned requirements on the designed EDI module case were clarified. The project team was really interdisciplinary. It consisted of about 10 members from 1 research institute, 1 university and 1 industrial design office, cooperating with 2 independent membrane processes experts from abroad and consultants from 2 industrial companies.

Naturally, each of them had its own view about the requirements on the designed technical product. In addition it was necessary to complete this set of assigned stated requirements with the above mentioned obligatory, generally implied and also a few own requirements with use of the above mentioned (see Subchapters 2.1 and 2.2) and also other ad hoc available sources.

All final selected and clarified requirements on TS properties of the designed EDI module case with use of the developed software tool called SP&HA were implemented in SW MS Excel [10]. It has been utilized and validated in its different development versions in a number of interdisciplinary design projects [3], [5]. Each requirement was specified by values of its established respective property indicators with an assigned importance (weighting) from negligible up to compulsory, numerically expressed from 0 to 4.

Thanks to our experienced partners and due to shortage of time we could focus only on key requirements and thus utilize the large potential of SP&HA only partially. In spite of this, it enabled us to evaluate predicted fulfilment of all specified key properties, comparing and evaluating them with specified requirements and possibly also with respective properties of the selected competitive products.

Some of the useful features of this SW tool are diagrams supporting SW(OT) analyses. These indicated strong and weak properties as well as corresponding risk indicators [7] of the alternative designs for the EDI module case. SP&HA can also cover the selected competitive products, however it was not used due to lack of appropriate data. The weak properties are needed to be improved as selective disruptive innovations.

In general it is possible to specify a very detailed set of transparently arranged requirements. Such a comprehensive specification of requirements thus has a key role for the resulting Life Cycle quality of a designed technical product. Thus the traditional ways of establishing design specification starting from “Trial and Error” approach (i.e. without almost any design specification) through “Intuitive” (usable however only by very experienced experts) up to “Instructive” ones. These are however available only as more or less complete subjective instructions (e.g. in [8] and many others) based on knowledge and experience accumulated by their authors.

### 4 Search for TS transformation functions and resulting TS organ structure

Based on the established design specification we were prepared to establish the process of electrodeionisation in the form of a black box of the operation transformation process of the EDI module (not shown here). Next, we established its necessary main transformation and corresponding assisting functions and prepared to start its conceptual designing in the form of its TS function structure (not shown here) and corresponding alternatives of TS organ structure with use of morphological matrix (Fig. 3). The designed conceptual alternatives of TS organ structures (Fig. 4) were subsequently evaluated and a suboptimal one was selected and further developed (see Chapter 5)

### 5 TS Property based Search for solutions and their respective evaluations

The next “embodiment design” step [2], [3] aiming at alternatives and finally at sub-optimal TS constructional structure including its sub-structures (called here constructional organs) of the designed EDI module optimally utilised all generally known and used solution approaches from the most simple “Trial and Error (and Success!!)”, through “Intuitive” and “Instructive” ones until the Theory (EDS-TTS) based ones as e.g. outlined in [5], [9]. The process of achievement of the respective requirements on EDI module properties was accompanied by recorded (explicit) and tacit (implicit) “Design for X” knowledge and methods.
All available collected assigned systems (see Chapter 1). The project team was really interdisciplinary. The requirements on the designed EDI module case were experts from abroad and consultants from 2 industrial cooperating with 2 independent membrane processes in 1 university and 1 industrial design office. It consisted of about 10 members from 1 research source.

Subchapters 2.1 and 2.2) and also other ad hoc available requirements with use of the above mentioned (see assigned stated requirements with the above mentioned the developed software tool called SP&HA were implemented in SW MS Excel. It has been utilized with and optimal fulfilment of the outlined large set of key role for the resulting Life Cycle quality of a competitive products, however it was not used due to lack of appropriate data. The weak properties are needed (explicit) and tacit (implicit) “Design for X” knowledge and methods can be evaluated predicted fulfilment of all specified key parameters. Designing the EDI module case was very changeable by a decision of anybody afterwards as obviously relate to requirements on a designed technical product.

In order to achieve effective and efficient treatment of and Error (and Success!), through “Intuitive” and “Instructive” ones until the Theory (EDS Cycle (LC) stages. It is however also required to specify a very detailed set of these requirements on the designed technical product during all its Life engineering design process it is necessary to carefully establish respective property indicators with an comprehensive specification of requirements thus has a

As mentioned above, the set of these requirements behaviour/response on a specified load of any kind. These are however available only as more or less complete subjective instructions (e.g. in [8] and many others) based on knowledge and experience and corresponding alternatives of TS organ structure in the form of its TS function structure (not shown here). Next, we established its necessary main transformation and corresponding assisting functions and prepared to start its conceptual designing and corresponding alternatives of TS organ structure as TS properties and thus also the mentioned groupings Fig. 3 Morphological matrix with established main & assisting TS functions, corresponding TS organs (function carriers), and their selected groupings

However, necessary evaluation of the designed alternatives and their variants of the EDI module constructional structure including its sub-structures had to be performed again systematically according to the list of requirements to cover all the specified properties [5], [9]. To perform it both recorded (explicit) and tacit (implicit) “Prediction of X” knowledge and methods were utilized.

It is advantageous both for designing and its knowledge management that the huge field of generally unordered DfX and PoX knowledge and methods can be also systematically arranged according to the same structure as TS properties and thus also the mentioned list of requirements on properties of a designed product (see Chapter 1).

A few examples illustrating the two “coupled steps” i.e. search for solutions of alternatives of the EDI module’s main evoked Constructional Organs [2], i.e. constructional function carriers [13], and their evaluation according to the criteria regarding the main required properties specified in the list of requirements, followed by a decision about the sub-optimal alternative are outlined in (Fig. 5, 6 and 7).
alternative C of the connections (Fig. 5) was selected as a sub-optimal (including the not shown simple mutual galvanic connections of all plates).

Similarly alternative B (Fig. 6) was selected as a sub-optimal solution for side plates (including the shown appearance [12], and alternative C (Fig. 7) as a sub-optimal one for the depicted multi-purpose constructional organ.

6 TS Property based final analyses and evaluation

Finally the whole EDI module Constructional Structure was analysed and sub-optimised regarding the strengths and displacements (Fig. 8), considering all the specified requirements on the required TS properties, especially manufacturability, assembly-ability, mass, industrial design and production cost in this case [4].

Fig. 8 FEM analyses of strengths (left) and displacements (right) for the EDI module case

Thus the resulting sub-optimal constructional structure meets systematically assessed requirements and also respects and minimizes possible risks. There are many generally used techniques to investigate and evaluate risks of [15], [16]. In our case we used the generally known and used method FMEA [15]. The degree of potential risks were however again "assessed" for respective TS properties according to their weighting and evaluation of their fulfilment. Then the key indicators of RPN (Risk priority number) were determined (Severity, Detection, Occurrence). The selected crucial consequences are depicted in Table 1.

The most dangerous risks which had to be taken into account during designing were: the size of the operating pressure, the size of the test pressure, the amount of pressure from the swelling of ion-exchange balls, sealing of the connection plates, absorption of the power drawn for pressing the sealing between distributors and material properties of plates. Significant risks were also indicated, such as increased nominal flow, other pressure differential at the input and the output, quality of the material and dimensions of plates, comply with the dimensional and material parameters of distributors and membranes. A significant risk is also incurred when complying with standards and non-infringement of intellectual property of other parties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RISK INDICATOR</th>
<th>CONSEQUENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal flow</td>
<td>breach internal component/plates of module/rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic operating pressure</td>
<td>breach internal component/plates of module/rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic test pressure</td>
<td>breach internal component/plates of module/rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure difference input/output</td>
<td>breach internal component/plates of module/rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional pressure from swelling balls</td>
<td>breach internal component/plates of module/rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature</td>
<td>destabilisation of internal components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tightness of plates</td>
<td>leakage of fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption of force to push the seals</td>
<td>crumbling Seal/rupture of internal components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prismatic structure</td>
<td>limited disassembly, cost increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from the inner wall of plates</td>
<td>Rupture of spacers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters of ribs of plates</td>
<td>Unmanufacturability, increase costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection dimensions for input/output of fluids/energy</td>
<td>Increase cost of assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of pipe size for input/output of fluids/energy</td>
<td>Increase cost of assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disassembly of joints</td>
<td>Increase cost of disassembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling between plates</td>
<td>personal injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion of joints</td>
<td>deflection of plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate material and parameters</td>
<td>Rupture of plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-supporting</td>
<td>Fall of module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation connection</td>
<td>Overturn of module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatness of plates</td>
<td>Rupture of plates during tightening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Param. of distributors and membranes</td>
<td>Unable to assembly of module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compress. of active zone</td>
<td>Leakage fluid and excessive load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly process</td>
<td>Leakage fluid and excessive load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tighten connections equipment by the same torque</td>
<td>Detachment of plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. longitudinal extension of active block</td>
<td>Leakage of fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. total lateral extension of active block</td>
<td>Leakage of fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct stack</td>
<td>Increase storage costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of manipulation</td>
<td>Increase costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial design</td>
<td>Reduction of competitiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP infringement and standard violation</td>
<td>Financial compensation, denial certification, increase costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 Conclusions

Theoretical and practical results of the developed methodology based on TS property driven designing in the challenging area of designing an EDI module case are presented in the paper. Use of such theoretically based approaches and tools was not easy for the industrial partners. However, keeping a systematic knowledge base without bothering the involved, highly experienced partners obviously proved to be useful.
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